



UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

vs.

**MANUEL EDUARDO
VELAZQUEZ-COMPARAN,**

Defendant.

Case No. **5:16-CR-00034-VAP**

ORDER OF DETENTION AFTER HEARING
[Fed.R.Crim.P. 32.1(a)(6);
18 U.S.C. 3143(a)]

The defendant having been arrested in this District pursuant to a warrant issued by the United States District Court for the Central District of California for alleged violation(s) of the terms and conditions of his/her ~~[probation]~~ [supervised release]; and

The Court having conducted a detention hearing pursuant to Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 32.1(a)(6) and 18 U.S.C. § 3143(a),

The Court finds that:

A. ☒ The defendant has not met his/her burden of establishing by clear and convincing evidence that he/she is not likely to flee if released under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(b) or (c). This finding is based on defendant failed to identify a specific surety

and failed to identify property that would secure a bond. Defendant has prior probation issues and a failure to appear.

1
2
3
4 and/or

5 B. ☒ The defendant has not met his/her burden of establishing by
6 clear and convincing evidence that he/she is not likely to pose
7 a danger to the safety of any other person or the community if
8 released under 18 U.S.C. § 3142(b) or (c). This finding is based
9 on: *Defendant has not identified a specific surety or property that*
10 *could be security for a bond. Danger is further indicated by*
11 *defendant's prior criminal record and substance abuse.*
12
13

14 IT THEREFORE IS ORDERED that the defendant be detained pending
15 the further revocation proceedings.
16

17 Dated: 8/30/2017

18
19 
20 ALEXANDER F. MacKINNON
21 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
22
23
24
25
26
27
28